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A look at the numbers...

14.3% of the US population, **44 million people**, have incomes below the federal poverty line.

**4 points**
Amount the poverty rate fell during the Clinton administration, proving progress is possible.

**12 million**
Number of people that would be brought out of poverty by a 4 point reduction today.

At some point during the year, **1.6 million impoverished people will also be homeless.**

**$3,500**
Amount per individual per year that a community as a whole could save by providing housing for the homeless, avoiding costs in other areas.

**$1.4 billion**
Amount that could be saved in the economy each year if just one-quarter of the homeless population had housing available.

Worldwide **880 million people (3 times the US population) are living on less than $1 a day.**

**14.5 million**
Number of children in poverty in America

**1 in 5**
Of all kids in America.

**$22,050**
Family of Four

**$10,830**
Individual

**$22,000**
Amount one full-time worker could earn per year if minimum wage were raised 50%.

**50%**
Amount child poverty was cut in the UK during the ‘90s by providing tax breaks for families.

**0.2%**
Percentage of GDP the US gives to help alleviate extreme global poverty.

**0.7%**
Percentage of GDP many developed nations have pledged to provide.

**33,000**
Number of Millennium Villages that would be funded by every 0.1% increase in aid.

**750 million people**
Number of people the United States could provide Millennium Village services for if we met the .7% of GDP commitment.

**150 million people**
Number of people 33,000 Millennium Villages could provide education, food, water and basic healthcare for.

See fact sources in notes section starting at page 416
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Poverty is complex, but the solutions to ending it are not.

Nearly 44 million people are living in poverty in the US—over 14 percent of our population. Despite past successes in our country that have moved millions out of poverty, it remains. Empowering people to lift themselves out of poverty requires an investment in each other. It ultimately requires shining a light on what our priorities are and looking at how well we take care of those that are most vulnerable.

On a global scale there are over a billion people living in extreme poverty. Just like in our country, the solutions lie in supporting people to support themselves and for as little as 0.7 percent of wealth it is possible to eradicate extreme poverty in this generation.

In both cases, given the will, the way is clear.

Ending Poverty and Homelessness in the US

- Living wages
- Affordable housing
- Job training for at-risk youth and others
- More access and affordability for all levels of education

Ending Extreme Poverty Globally

- Microfinance
- Replicating what works (like the Millennium Villages)
- Changing the approach (from food aid) to empowering communities with the tools and resources for self-reliance
- Empowering women

Go to dreamofanation.org to get the book!
Ending Poverty in America

Nearly 44 million people are living in poverty in the US, over 14 percent of our population. With governments at all levels struggling to balance budgets, it may seem impossible to fully address the growing need given available resources, but the Center for American Progress and many others are convinced it is possible.

Ending Poverty Is Possible

By way of example, three young men from the impoverished neighborhoods of Newark, New Jersey—Sampson Davis, Rameck Hunt, and George Jenkins—mutually supported one another through high school and, given where they were raised, achieved the statistical improbable heights of completing college and medical school. During their junior year, a university recruiter visited their school to talk about a program that groomed underprivileged students for medical careers. After the seminar, Sampson, Rameck and George made a pact to stick together, go to college, graduate and become doctors. These students not only escaped poverty but all three also went on to become doctors. They have since founded the Three Doctors Foundation, which aims “to inspire and motivate youth through education, to achieve leadership and career success in their community through the formation of positive peer and mentor relationships.”

The Center for American Progress is a part of a larger movement of advocates, faith-based organizations, policy-makers, academics, think tanks and others who believe more stories like that of the three doctors are possible and that we can drastically reduce, and eventually end poverty in America. These groups believe that no problem is too big once the collective will of our nation is behind solving it. That attitude is also based on past successes with drastically reducing poverty here in America and internationally, for example:

- Lyndon Baines Johnson declared a war on poverty within his very first State of the Union address, President Lyndon Baines Johnson declared a war on poverty. The subsequent joint efforts of Congress and LBJ were comprehensive and multi-faceted, encompassing employment, education, housing, basic needs assistance and national service. Multiple programs were created that still exist today, including Job Corps, Head Start, Pell Grants and federal student loans, Medicare, Medicaid, food stamps and Volunteers in Service to America. During the Johnson administration, the poverty rate dropped from 19.5 to 12.8 percent, moving 11 million out of poverty. As the programs continued to flourish after Johnson left office, the poverty rate dropped even lower.

- Clinton-era Reductions in Poverty. During the 1990s, the economy was strong, and President Clinton and Congress created various government policies that promoted and supported workers. For example, they expanded tax credits for low-income workers, increased the availability of childcare and raised the minimum wage. Significantly, the Clinton years were also defined by large-scale welfare reform that produced some mixed results—many women successfully moved from welfare to work while a significant number (15 to 19 percent) still faced employment barriers coupled with a lack of accesses to federal welfare programs in 2000, leaving them in poverty and possibly worse off.

- UK Child Poverty Target. In 1999, Prime Minister Tony Blair announced a goal to end child poverty within a generation. The country decided to tackle the problem via policies that increased benefits and tax credits for families with children, established a minimum wage and expanded childcare and employment services. Statistics show that child poverty was cut in half within eight years—with 1.7 million fewer children living in poverty.

A Game Plan

Any new movement must be based on an awareness of poverty in America. In 2009, over 43 million people

It is possible to drastically reduce, and eventually end, poverty in America.
In the US, the poverty threshold for a single person under 65 was $10,830, and the threshold for a family group of four, including two children, was $22,050.

Alleviating and eventually ending poverty requires a thoughtful, comprehensive and multi-faceted strategy. A taskforce of well-respected poverty experts from the worlds of academia and advocacy developed an encompassing plan: From Poverty to Prosperity: A National Strategy to Cut Poverty in Half. The plan includes a series of recommendations, such as:

1) Work Opportunities and Supports. Work is definitely a key antidote to poverty. However, individuals with limited skills and opportunities may find it difficult to obtain and maintain employment. This is particularly true of the estimated 1.4 to 5 million disconnected youth in their late teens and early twenties who are neither involved in school or work.1 Thus, the taskforce recommended greater investments in job training, job placement and service programs that help provide such supports, including YouthBuild, AmeriCorps, Service and Conservation Corps and Youth Opportunity Grants.

Additionally, approximately 41 percent of the 2.3 million people incarcerated in the nation’s prisons and jails2 have not completed high school.3 Once released from prison, they frequently face job discrimination due to their criminal backgrounds.4 Solutions focused on job training as well as preventing crime and incarceration must be developed at all levels of government.

Finally, childcare improvements and expansions are needed. Parents cannot work at all, or work effectively, if they don’t have a safe and nurturing place to leave their children during those hours when they are on the job. However, for many low-wage workers, childcare costs are impossibly unaffordable. Forty percent of poor, single working mothers who paid for childcare in 2001 spent at least half of their cash income on childcare.5 Federal and state governments offer childcare assistance to low-income workers, but current structures and resources are insufficient to truly address the need. It is estimated that only 17 percent of federally eligible children received assistance in 2008.6 Thus, some restructuring of the system and increased investments are in order.

2) Improving Incomes. Unfortunately, there are many people in this country who work but are still struggling to get by in the Department of Labor’s most recent study, 10.4 million people were considered a part of the working poor, meaning that they worked for a significant portion of the year, but still fell under the federal poverty line.7 Thus, the Center for American Progress’s Poverty Taskforce recommends that the federal minimum wage be re-established at 50 percent of the average wage (allowing it to automatically increase over time). Fourteen states and the District of Columbia have enacted minimum wage laws that exceed federal requirements,8 and more states should consider following suit. Income improvements could be further achieved through expanded tax credits for working families and a greater freedom to unionize and collectively bargain for improved wages and benefits.

3) Education. Education truly opens doors to new opportunities and forms a solid pathway into the middle class. However, in order to be successful, it must work at every level. Thus, children require access to quality early childhood education. Only 74 percent of four-year-olds and 47 percent of three-year-olds participate in preschool services,9 reflecting the need to expand preschool programs such as Head Start, while in Sweden, France and Italy there is universal enrollment in preschool.10 The Obama administration agrees that continued effort should be placed into improving pre-K–12 education through measures designed to expand learning time, promote high expectations and accountability, improve teacher quality and increase access to valuable programs and services. For example, the Obama administration’s Early Learning Challenge Grant proposal challenges states to develop effective, innovative models that promote high standards of quality and a focus on outcomes across early learning settings, and would dedicate $10 billion over ten years toward this effort.11 “For every $1 we invest in these programs, we get $10 back in participation in preschool services,”12 reflecting the need to expand preschool programs such as Head Start.

The Obama administration agrees that continued effort should be placed into improving pre-K–12 education through measures designed to expand learning time, promote high expectations and accountability, improve teacher quality and increase access to valuable programs and services. For example, the Obama administration’s Early Learning Challenge Grant proposal challenges states to develop effective, innovative models that promote high standards of quality and a focus on outcomes across early learning settings, and would dedicate $10 billion over ten years toward this effort.13 “For every $1 we invest in these programs, we get $10 back in their children during those hours when they are on the job. However, for many low-wage workers, childcare costs are impossibly unaffordable. Forty percent of poor, single working mothers who paid for childcare in 2001 spent at least half of their cash income on childcare.5 Federal and state governments offer childcare assistance to low-income workers, but current structures and resources are insufficient to truly address the need. It is estimated that only 17 percent of federally eligible children received assistance in 2008.6 Thus, some restructuring of the system and increased investments are in order.

The Obama administration agrees that continued effort should be placed into improving pre-K–12 education through measures designed to expand learning time, promote high expectations and accountability, improve teacher quality and increase access to valuable programs and services. For example, the Obama administration’s Early Learning Challenge Grant proposal challenges states to develop effective, innovative models that promote high standards of quality and a focus on outcomes across early learning settings, and would dedicate $10 billion over ten years toward this effort.13 “For every $1 we invest in these programs, we get $10 back in
Cincinnati Works is Finding Answers to Unemployment

“Why don’t they just get a job?” It’s easy to ask that question when driving through a rundown, inner-city neighborhood. Too often “poor” is associated with “lazy,” “dependent” or “unskilled.”

When searching for inspiration to enter non-profit work, Dave and Liane Phillips understood that chronic unemployment is not a condition anyone chooses, nor does it have to be a fact of life. There are thousands of skilled and driven people who are unemployed in every major city, and there are also thousands of entry-level positions opening up every year. Dave and Liane realized that the solution to urban poverty is removing the barriers between these two groups.

The couple founded Cincinnati Works to provide anyone who voluntarily comes to their non-profit with the services needed to remove these barriers. Their approach is systematic. All applicants must agree to commit to the Cincinnati Works program with the goal in mind of working towards economic self sufficiency. The next week they must enroll in an individually tailored, 30-hour workshop to improve their job readiness. Within the next year, they must start developing skills to move beyond entry-level work.

What differentiates CincinnatiWorks from other jobs programs is that applicants are not merely referred to a variety of other specialized agencies. Research shows that many individuals raised and living in poverty are depressed or lack confidence, so Cincinnati Works keeps a full-time mental health expert on staff, ready to work with applicants on their first day. Applicants with legal issues work directly with the legal coordinator. Applicants with poor computer skills for example begin to work with staff or a volunteer. The list of quality, free services goes on and on. What is key is that the solution to urban poverty is removing the barriers between these two groups.

Even with all their faith in people, Dave and Liane couldn’t have expected their results. New employees from Cincinnati Works have an 80 percent rate of one year; continuous employment retention, compared to a 25 percent average around the nation. Over 90 major employers throughout the city now look to the organization first when filling a job opening. And, most importantly, every year over 400 people are getting employment in jobs that will lead to more stable financial sufficiency.1

Joy Moses is a senior policy analyst at the Center for American Progress (www.americanprogress.org). She produces reports and analyses focused on alleviating, preventing and ending poverty. Her work has covered a broad spectrum of issues including federal safety net programs, access to justice and tax credits. She was previously a staff attorney at the National Low Income Housing Coalition. Moses currently serves on ABA Commission on Homelessness and Poverty and the board of the Washington Council of Lawyers. She received her JD from Georgetown University Law Center and a BA from Stanford University.

reduced welfare rolls, fewer healthcare costs and less crime.” President Obama said in a 2007 speech in Manchester, New Hampshire.21

Finally, higher education should be made more accessible to the poor. The Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 made important changes to the Pell Grant program (which provides federal grants or scholarships to low-income students). However, more work lies ahead, including further raising the maximum grant to 70 percent of the average costs of attending a four-year public institution, simplifying the application process and incentivizing institutions to increase completion rates. This would go a long way toward making higher education more accessible.

Additionally states should develop strategies to make post-secondary education affordable for all residents. Georgia, for example, guarantees tuition and fees at any public college for students who graduate with a 3.0 GPA or better. In an effort to revitalize its urban center, the Kalamazoo Promise is a scholarship program that covers the cost of tuition and fees to public universities and community colleges in Michigan for students who graduate from the city’s public school system. Many other cities around the nation are looking to adapt the concept.21

4) Fixing the Broken Pieces. There are certain elements of our national life, such as housing and the safety net, that have been noticeably broken for a very long time, impacting families of varying income levels—they must be fixed. Many low- and middle-income families pay far too much for housing and/or have been impacted by the recent foreclosure crisis. Appropriately capitalizing the National Housing Trust Fund, which is designed to create affordable housing, and multiplying investments in other programs such as rental subsidies or Housing Choice Vouchers would help in addressing this problem.

Unfortunately, regardless of work and education opportunities, there will be those who experience temporary hardships such as job losses, injuries or health problems that impact their ability to meet their basic needs. For these groups, effective safety net programs such as unemployment insurance, food stamps and energy assistance are a necessity. Yet, these programs need improvement because typically there’s only enough funding to serve a small fraction of the people who qualify for services. Many such programs have a host of other problems including burdensome application procedures, bureaucracies and a lack of outreach to potential participants. Congress and administrative officials must continue to address these barriers.

There is definitely much work ahead but there are some signs of hope. A nation that has a sense of purpose, people to accomplish the tasks ahead and policy blueprints that have been proven and refined is able to win the battle against poverty and win for good.

Joy Moses is a senior policy analyst at the Center for American Progress (www.americanprogress.org). She produces reports and analyses focused on alleviating, preventing and ending poverty. Her work has covered a broad spectrum of issues including federal safety net programs, access to justice and tax credits. She was previously a staff attorney at the National Low Income Housing Coalition. Moses currently serves on ABA Commission on Homelessness & Poverty and the board of the Washington Council of Lawyers. She received her JD from Georgetown University Law Center and a BA from Stanford University.

A nation that has a sense of purpose, people to accomplish the tasks ahead and policy blueprints that have been proven and refined is able to win the battle against poverty and win for good.
Ending Homelessness: A Dream with a Plan

In our nation tonight, at least 650,000 people will be homeless. Over a third of them will have no shelter at all. While most will be single individuals, 37 percent will be people living in families with children. Nearly a fifth will have serious disabilities and have been homeless for years, even. But for the remaining 80 percent, homelessness will be a relatively brief, one-time-only experience—the result of the nation’s chronic shortage of housing that is affordable to low-income people.

Ask a 20-year-old today how homelessness can be ended and chances are you will get a puzzled look in return. In their experience, homelessness has always existed and probably always will. Ending homelessness is a dream.

Except that it is not. Many people are surprised to learn that widespread homelessness is a relatively new phenomenon in the United States. Thirty years ago, we did not have it, and we should not have it now. We should all dream of a nation in which homelessness does not exist. But that dream can be accompanied by a pragmatic, actionable plan for ending it, because ending homelessness is entirely within our grasp.

Affordable Housing is the Solution

In the course of a year, nearly half of people who become homeless live in families with children; the rest are youth, veterans and single men and women. Most people are homeless because they are poor and cannot afford housing. Of all the people who are homeless—1,590,000 each year—the majority will be homeless for only a brief time and never be homeless again. Only 17 percent will be homeless for long periods of time, and these are people whose chronic illnesses (mental illness, chronic addictions, and physical ailments) prevent them from getting back on their feet.

The major cause of the homelessness crisis is the fact that low-income people can no longer afford housing. Thirty years ago, there were more inexpensive apartments and houses than there were low-income people who needed them. People may have had mental illness, addiction and disabilities—they may have been very poor and living on the edge—but they could afford a place to live. Today, the cost of housing has risen much faster than people’s incomes, and there are fewer and fewer inexpensive places to live. The combination of more demand and less supply has led to homelessness. It is like a game of musical chairs: when the music stops, someone is left standing.

Of course, housing is not the only problem. People who are poor have difficulty finding jobs or accessing benefits. They lack medical care and often have untreated illnesses or disabilities. Certainly people who are homeless have unmet service and treatment needs and require more income. But the bottom line is, whatever other problems people may have, if they are housed they are not homeless.

Many people are surprised to learn that widespread homelessness is a relatively new phenomenon in the United States. Thirty years ago, we did not have it, and we should not have it now.
Plan to End Homelessness

Research has shown that if people can be helped to quickly return to housing, most are able to get back on their feet, re-establish their lives and move forward: they do not become homeless again. Re-housing ends homelessness and may even cost less than band-aid approaches like shelter. To be sure, people may still be poor, pay too much for housing and lack services. But they are not still homeless.

Often it is less expensive to help someone stay in their existing apartment than to let them become homeless and then find them a new place to live.

Costs of Serving Homeless Individuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Cost per Day ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Inpatient</td>
<td>$1,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Room</td>
<td>$905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric Hospital</td>
<td>$604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulance</td>
<td>$527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detox</td>
<td>$28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail</td>
<td>$87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable &amp; Supportive Housing</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter</td>
<td>$28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: www.usich.gov/PDF/OpeningDoors_2010_FSPPreventEndHomeless.pdf - see p.10)

To help shift our approach to homelessness from shelter to housing, the National Alliance to End Homelessness released A Plan, Not a Dream: How to End Homelessness in Ten Years\(^4\) that gives communities a road map to solutions. The step-by-step process begins with a plan and a commitment to ending homelessness completely and implementation of the following programs:

Prevention. After committing to a plan, preventing people from becoming homeless is the first step. Often it is less expensive to help someone stay in their existing apartment than to let them become homeless and then find them a new place to live. It is also the right thing to do. Why put a domestic violence survivor and her children in a shelter when an apartment would be safer and more nurturing?\(^6\)

Besides losing their housing because of poverty or a crisis, many people become homeless after being discharged from public institutions like foster care, hospitals, mental health facilities, jails or prisons. A more effective, and less costly, approach is to create a support system that helps people transition quickly into housing—the necessary platform for their future employment and stability.

Housing. To get homeless people rapidly back into housing, a whole new set of strategies is being used. More affordable housing must be produced. Communities need a toolbox of housing resources, including rental subsidies, housing locators and landlord negotiators. To house the most vulnerable and challenged street people, permanent supportive housing (housing with services) is necessary. Tens of thousands of such units have been created over the past decade, and they are slowly but surely reducing chronic homelessness.\(^7\)

Big Picture. The final strategy is to make progress on the housing crisis, low incomes and holes in the safety net. This is a huge challenge, especially during difficult economic times. However, a new initiative could help unlock some federal resources in the service of ending homelessness. Released in June 2010, Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness\(^8\) sets ambitious five- and ten-year goals. It mobilizes mainstream programs such as housing, veterans’ assistance, youth programs, welfare and child welfare to do their parts on the homelessness front. While it will not solve the housing crisis or end poverty, using these federal mainstream resources more strategically can have a major impact on homelessness.

Ending Homelessness is Achievable

More than 240 cities, towns and rural areas across the nation, Congress, several administrations, mayors, governors and legislators have adopted plans to end homelessness and are reducing homelessness nationwide.\(^7\)
As long as it’s been since I was homeless, the pain is still buried deep inside. When I see a person in that place, I recall that pain.

When my ex-husband returned from Vietnam with a heroin addiction, I made the decision to leave. Even working, I found it hard to maintain my previous lifestyle and ended up homeless, walking the streets of DC with my two young daughters.

I was soon in a shelter where I stayed for six months. The situation may seem inconceivable to most but I’ve seen so many people who are just one paycheck away from homelessness.

In my time living in shelter, I met people insincere in their efforts and unable to understand my situation.

But today, that’s changed. Today there are more programs, more strategies and more people who are dedicated to helping others move forward. I’ve seen programs that emphasize rapid re-housing, supportive housing, job opportunities, healthcare and other social services critical to helping vulnerable people move forward with their lives.

As a woman with intimate knowledge of the issue, I am truly blessed to serve on the Board of Directors of the National Alliance to End Homelessness. In this work, and in my personal life as a realtor helping people access housing, I feel that I am returning the blessings that helped me out of homelessness. Words can never express the joy of someone who’s experienced homelessness, stabilized and turned around to lend a helping hand to another in need. It is a joy that I know and embrace wholeheartedly.

Nan Roman, president and CEO of the National Alliance to End Homelessness (endhomelessness.org), is a leading national voice on the issue of homelessness. She developed a pragmatic plan to end homelessness in ten years. To implement this plan, Roman works closely with members of Congress and the administration, as well as with cities and states across the nation. She collaborates with Alliance partners to educate the public about the real nature of homelessness and successful solutions.

Ending homelessness may be a dream for our nation, but with a good plan, it is a dream that we can achieve.

Irene Mabry Moses is the founder and CEO of Faith Realty LLC and has served as a board member for the National Alliance to End Homelessness since 2008. The realtor, who once experienced homelessness herself, is an active member of her community, her church and charitable organizations focused on housing and poverty.
**Solving the World’s Water Supply Crisis**

Around the world, nearly 1 billion people lack access to safe drinking water and 3.6 million people die from water-related illnesses each year. Unsafe water and poor sanitation is one of the world’s greatest humanitarian crises. And it affects the most vulnerable populations—the world’s poor.

Actor Matt Damon co-founded Water.org, a nonprofit that seeks to end the global water supply crisis. Damon is more than the organization’s spokesman. He has immersed himself in the issue and is directly involved with the organization’s activities.

“I think what resonates with me most is when you see people living without clean water and they’re forced to scavenge for water and basically use up all of their time just doing that,” said Damon. “You realize that they’re in such a crippling cycle of poverty; it’s just a death spin that they can’t possibly get out of.”

Water.org helps communities in Africa, Asia and Central America gain access to safe water and sanitation. The organization helps negotiate loans between microfinance institutions and communities that will be used to building systems to tap into a well. The community helps raise the money, participates in construction and maintenance and as a result is engaged and empowered. “Our vision is clean water and sanitation for everyone, in our lifetime,” said Damon.

Since its inception in 1990, the organization has helped hundreds of communities and tens of thousands of individuals gain access to safe water and sanitation. Water.org has been successful in raising money and attracting institutional funders because many believe that its approach and solutions are scalable. Rather than a top-down charity, Water.org’s approach is bottom-up sustainability.

---

**from the editor**

Solving the World’s Water Supply Crisis

---

**Every 20 seconds, a child dies from a water-related disease.**

---

**0.7% of Wealth:**

**A Small Price to End Global Extreme Poverty**

With five years to go to the MDGs [Millennium Development Goals] target date of 2015, the prospect of falling short of the Goals due to lack of commitment is very real. This would be an unacceptable failure, moral and practical. If we fail, the dangers in the world—instability, violence, epidemic diseases, environmental degradation, runaway population growth—will all be multiplied.

—United Nations Secretary General Ban Ki-moon, Keeping the Promise, February 2010

In the world of development and poverty reduction, statistics are at times the most valuable snapshot for telling us where we are and where we need to be. According to the 2010 UN Millennium Development Goals report, tremendous progress has been made in the fight against poverty. Enrollment in primary school reached 89 percent in the developing world, the under-five mortality rate dropped by 28 percent and rural drinking water coverage increased to 76 percent.

Nevertheless, significant gaps remain, and the road ahead may be more difficult than the one already traveled. Eleven percent of children—a staggering 72 million—are still denied access to primary education. Every year, 8.8 million children die from preventable diseases such as diarrhea and pneumonia. Ensuring that the remaining 24...
The eight MDGs aim to:

Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger
Achieve universal primary education
Promote gender equality and women’s empowerment
Reduce child mortality
Improve maternal health
Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria, tuberculosis and other diseases
Ensure environmental sustainability and better access to water and sanitation
Create a global partnership for development

The Goals are achievable; they have timelines and deadlines and are locally defined and measurable. The MDGs have helped transform entire countries and the results are staggering:

- Through a national input subsidy program, Malawi went from a 43 percent national food deficit in 2005 to a 53 percent food surplus in just two years.
- Kenya eliminated school fees and, as a result, enrolled an additional two million children in primary school.
- Due to the government’s successful health insurance program, Rwanda is likely to meet—and even surpass—the child and maternal mortality targets by 2015.
- Cambodia has managed to halt and reverse the spread of HIV.
- With an increased investment in water and sanitation resources, Guatemala increased access to improved drinking water for 96 percent of the population and improved sanitation for 84 percent.

When it comes to the MDGs, in addition to the number of children enrolled in primary school, the number of children dying every year from preventable diseases, or the number of rural households that have gained access to drinking water, one of the most important statistics to achieve the MDGs is the responsibility of rich governments: 0.7 percent.

percent of rural households gain access to drinking water will require overcoming the current technical, logistical and financial constraints.

According to development experts, 0.7 percent represents the percentage of Gross National Income (GNI) that donor countries have committed in Official Development Assistance. It is believed that 0.7 percent is vital in achieving the MDGs and ensuring the end to extreme poverty within a generation. The United States pales in comparison to many other countries with only a 0.2% contribution.
The current shortfall is $18 billion (in 2004 dollars) against the 2005 commitments. Despite being the largest donor in terms of volume ($28.7 billion), the United States pales in comparison to many other countries with only a 0.2 percent contribution. If the United States met the targeted 0.7 percent in 2009, the contribution would have been approximately $99.6 billion dollars—a difference of $70.9 billion.

To provide a sense of US Government spending, the Department of Defense’s 2010 budget totaled $690 billion, including funding for the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, whereas the Department of State’s 2010 budget, which includes the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), was $54 billion. The State Department and USAID are the lead agencies in charge of US diplomacy and development. Although diplomacy and development spending may never reach the levels of defense spending, leadership within the US government has recognized the need for civilian power to promote stability and economic growth.

President Obama’s 2010 National Security Strategy calls development a strategic, economic imperative, and during a September 2010 Conference in Washington, DC, Secretary of Defense Robert Gates argued that “development is a lot cheaper than sending soldiers.” Not only is development cheaper, but it also promotes good governance, leads to stability and can help create conditions that no longer require soldiers. Helping others escape poverty is necessary to create a just and stable world. When foreign states malfunction, their societies are likely to experience steeply escalating problems that spill over to the rest of the world, including the United States. Failed states are seedbeds of violence, terrorism, international criminality, mass migration and refugee movements, drug trafficking and disease.

The United States and the Millennium Development Goals: From Rhetoric to Reality

We will support the Millennium Development Goals, and approach next year’s summit with a global plan to make them a reality. And we will set our sights on the eradication of extreme poverty in our time.

President Barack Obama, September 2009

In his first appearance before the United Nations General Assembly in New York, President Barack Obama declared that the MDGs are “America’s Goals.” This declaration set a tone for how the United States would re-engage with the MDGs in the final years before the 2015 deadline. A year later, Obama followed through by pledging to double US foreign assistance to $50 billion by 2012; invested nearly $100 billion dollars in major development initiatives to address the most pressing development issues (global health, food security and climate change) and announced a Presidential Policy Directive on Global Development—the first of its kind from any administration—demonstrating continued US leadership in global development.

In the US, the Millennium Campaign works with partner organizations and individuals like you to closely monitor US contributions to the MDGs. Together, we can hold our leaders accountable to the promises made to the most vulnerable members in our global community and provide hope for a better future for all.

Ensuring Effective Aid

Author, economist and native Zambian, Dambisa Moyo has a different and emerging view about foreign aid. She is calling for the world to taper off financial assistance to African governments, putting an end to all of it. In her 2009 book, Dead Aid, Moyo blames Africa’s poverty and corruption on foreign economic assistance. In response to the augments by defenders of aid, Moyo points out the undisputed facts. Dysfunctional regimes have received billions in aid from Europe and the US. Corrupt leaders are the ones that benefit financially, not the impoverished citizens and the continent is saddled inflation and an unsustainable debt burden from huge loans. The final clincher is that most of Africa is poorer now than it was before aid dollars began to increase, a few decades ago.

Moyo does not condemn all aid, only aid to governments—large sums of money transferred from government to government. Humanitarian aid, emergency aid and aid given to specific organizations and people on the ground is still necessary. Moyo is advocating for the solutions that have worked in China and India. For Africa, she recommends paths to independence: higher-interest and less lenient, capital market bonds; partnerships with the Chinese who have already done more for Africa’s infrastructure and economic growth in the last five years than the US has done the last 50; and more microfinance, efficient banking of savings and remittances. Not everyone is opposed to Moyo’s ideas, African government ministers and major charities are listening. Ultimately, Moyo wants what everyone else wants for Africa: long-term growth and jobs not band-aid responses and temporary solutions.
Building Prosperity From the Ground Up

Today, more than 925 million people—one-sixth of the world’s population—suffer from undernourishment.1 We have all seen the harrowing images of desperate, grinding poverty in the developing world. We want to take action, but the vastness and depth of global hunger and poverty can make it feel overwhelming and inevitable. It is not inevitable. We can end hunger and poverty, but it will take a groundswell of people to achieve it. With strategic interventions, the women and men of the developing world can end their own hunger and poverty.

Mobilizing People at the Grassroots Level to Build Self-Reliance

When people are chronically hungry, they do not simply lack food. Chronic hunger is often coupled with marginalization, subjugation, disempowerment and resignation. Many impoverished communities in the developing world have watched development initiatives sweep in with abundant goods and services and then depart, leaving the community no better than it started once the goods and services are no longer available. They feel powerless and have little hope for change. They become resigned to thinking that poverty is their fate.

Simply addressing physiological hunger is not a sustainable solution—it actually perpetuates the cycle. The key is empowering impoverished communities to take action to meet their basic needs. By building capacities, leadership, confidence and sense of community, people living in the conditions of hunger and poverty can be self-reliant, which will ultimately lead to lasting improvement. Using this approach, the cycle of poverty can be broken by the people who are living it every day.

Empowering Women as Key Change Agents

Studies show that when women are supported and empowered, all of society benefits. Their families are healthier, more children go to school, agricultural productivity improves and incomes increase. In short, communities become more resilient. Empowering women to be change agents is an essential element in ending global hunger and poverty. Not only do women comprise almost 60 percent of the world’s hungry people;2 they also bear almost all responsibility for meeting basic needs of the family. They cook and serve food; collect water and fuel; and care for the children, elderly and sick in the community. Women also produce more than 50 percent of all food grown worldwide.3 Despite their critical role, women are systematically denied the resources, information and freedom of action they need to effectively fulfill this responsibility.

Investing in women pays off. Research shows that women are far more likely than men to financially invest in their children’s health, education and household needs. For example, when women farmers receive the same inputs as male farmers, output can increase up to 22 percent.4 A mother’s social and economic status is one of the best indicators of whether her children will escape poverty and be healthy.5

There are a number of successful microfinance programs that are specifically focused on the economic

A recent study* showed that 90% of a woman’s income will go back to the family.

Research shows that women are far more likely than men to financially invest in their children’s health, education and household needs.
If we want to end world hunger and abject poverty, it is imperative that we invest in women.

An On-the-Ground Strategy for Success

Fighting hunger and poverty on a global scale hinges on community-led development. In Africa, The Hunger Project (THP) developed an approach called the Epicenter Strategy, which has been successfully used to mobilize clusters of rural villages to create and run programs to achieve sustainable progress in health, food security, education, agriculture and income generation. Through the Epicenter Strategy, communities that were once impoverished become self-reliant in approximately five to eight years.

Initially, people from around 10 to 15 villages voluntarily come together to participate in a Vision, Commitment and Action Workshop. They create a common vision and commit to take actions, both individually and collectively, to end hunger and poverty in their villages. It is with these collective commitments that a sense of community and accomplishment develops among the villagers.

Another central component of the Epicenter Strategy is the construction of the Epicenter building. Through their own labor, the villagers construct a building that houses a training center, meeting hall, food-processing center, health clinic, library and classrooms. Nurses’ quarters, a food bank and demonstration farm, where farmers learn new techniques to improve their crops, are also constructed nearby.

After the Epicenter building is in place and the support programs are up and running, the community continues working toward meeting the following goals:

- The empowerment of women
- Increased adult and child literacy
- Improvement in maternal and child health
- Increased food security
- Reduction of diseases such as malaria and HIV/AIDS
- Increased access to credit and creation of income-generating activities
- Environmentally sustainable and appropriate farming practices

As these goals are accomplished, the community’s confidence and influence increase, and they are able to successfully make demands of the local government for services and personnel, such as teachers and health professionals. Simply put, the community begins to emerge from the stranglehold of chronic hunger and poverty.

In addition to its work in Africa, THP has other programs around the world to build prosperity from the ground up. In India, THP works with women who have been elected to their village council. Federation meetings of elected women (top—Jaipur, India) and Women’s Leadership Workshops (bottom—Bikaner, India), empower the women to make effective change and reduce the toll of hunger in their villages (2006).

“Power can be taken, but not given. The process of the taking is empowerment in itself.” —Gloria Steinem

Communities in Malawi have seen great success using the Epicenter Strategy. These two teachers changed their lives by getting the education needed to become teachers and are now changing the lives of the next generation (2009).
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The Epicenter Strategy has been implemented in eight countries, reaching an estimated 1.8 million people, who are proving through their actions that an end to hunger and poverty is possible.

As the community continues to make progress, a Microfinance Program targeted toward rural women provides a crucial missing link for ending poverty—the economic empowerment of women. With the Microfinance Program, women can expand or start businesses and with their income, improve their farms, purchase food, send their children to school and save for the future. For example, with an initial loan of about $75, Elizabeth Kalimbuka of Malawi started a cattle business. Not only has she since made a profit and repaid her loan, she also has accumulated enough food for her family until the next harvest season (about four months), renovated her home and is able to pay school tuition for her niece and nephew.

The ultimate goal of the program is to gain government recognition and operate as a licensed Rural Bank. Once this is achieved, the Rural Bank provides the entire Epicenter community with sustainable access to savings and credit facilities. Since the inception of the Microfinance Program in 1999, THP has grown the loan portfolio to approximately US$2.4 million across Benin, Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Ghana, Malawi, Mozambique, Senegal and Uganda.

The Epicenter Strategy is an integrated model of development that can be applied anywhere in the world. It has been implemented in eight countries in Africa, reaching an estimated 1.8 million people, who are proving through their actions that an end to hunger and poverty is possible. To date, 21 Epicenters are deemed self-relying, meaning they are able to fund their own activities and require little or no financial investment from The Hunger Project. The communities have consistent and reliable access to healthcare, education, food, clean water, safe sanitation, savings and credit. Dozens more communities are well on their way to achieving the same reality.

The best way for us—as individuals or as a nation—is to partner with and invest our financial resources in the women and men in the developing world. Both through our government and through charitable non-governmental organizations, Americans spend billions of dollars on aid to the developing world. It is critical that these significant resources constitute more than just a band-aid but a sustainable solution. An example of a band-aid or of intervention that does not promote sustainability or self-reliance is food aid. The United States, in recent years, has provided much of its aid in the form of food, but three-quarters of that food is grown in the US. This US-grown food, when imported to developing countries, leads to destabilization and reduced local market prices, threatening the livelihoods of local producers and traders upon whom long-term food security depends. However, given recent commitments by world leaders, it seems as though the United States and the world is at the threshold of an extraordinary sea change in how we spend foreign aid and that the focus is shifting to empowering people to become self-reliant. For example, in July 2009, world leaders made an unparalleled financial commitment to end world hunger at the G8 summit. They announced a commitment of $20 billion over three years for a Food Security Initiative that will support rural development in developing countries. This commitment is not only financially significant, but it also represents a shift in how the world is seeking to address the issues of hunger and poverty. Rather than providing short-term food aid, the focus is shifting toward building the capacity of rural farmers, particularly women, in the developing world to increase their food production.

In the developed world, in addition to governments, individuals also have an important role to play in the fight against hunger and poverty. A small financial contribution to a non-profit or via a microfinance program that focuses on empowering people, particularly women, at the grassroots level can have a remarkable impact on a family’s life. For example, $60 could provide a loan to a rural woman, who in turn starts a small business that will generate income that she uses to send her children to school and provide them with nourishing food. Ultimately, the key to ending hunger and poverty will only be found in the women and men who live that life each and every day.

Our Role as a Developed Nation

The best way for us—as individuals or as a nation—is to partner with and invest our financial resources in the women and men in the developing world.

Imported food leads to destabilization and reduced local market prices, threatening the livelihoods of local producers upon whom long-term food security depends.